REMARKS

In the Office Action dated May 5, 2006, the Examiner has set forth a requirement for restriction under 35 U. S. C. § 121. The Examiner contends that "Group I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature". The Examiner reasoned that "the technical feature of the invention of Group I is the transgenic animal, whereas the technical feature of Group II is the cell. Thus, there is no special technical feature linking the inventions of Group I and II".

Applicants respectively traverse this restriction. The cell in Claim 11 is extracted from the transgenic animal as described in accordance with any one of Claims 1-9. Therefore, the cell in Claim 11 and the transgenic animal have the same genetic makeup and share the same technical feature. Transgenic animal in Group I is merely sum of the cells, one of which is being claimed in Group II. Thus, the Groups I and II shall be properly examined together.

In view of the foregoing comments, it is respectively urged that the Examiner reconsider both Group I, Claims 1-10, 13, and Group II, Claims 11, 12, and 14.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment necessitated by this response to Deposit Account No. 18-1982.

Respectfully submitted,

Xuhong Sunny Wang, Reg. No 54,524

Patent Agent for Applicant

sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC Patent Department Route #202-206 / P.O. Box 6800 Bridgewater, NJ 08807 Telephone (908) 231-3648

Telefax (908) 231-2626

Docket No. ST99040 US PCT